STOCKERTOWN BOROUGH PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING

Minutes of Planning Commission Meeting held

Tuesday, February 16, 2021 at 7:30 pm at the Stockertown Borough Municipal Building

Social Distancing and Masks Required

Meeting called	d to order by <u>S</u>	ean Dooley		
Attendance: (Y=yes NR=No w	vith Regrets N=No)		
Planning Com	mission	Borough Staff	f	
Sean Dooley Y		John Soloe, Zoning Officer NR		
Bryce Good	<u>Y</u>	Gary N. Astea	k, Esq., Borough Solicitor	<u>NR</u>
A. Joseph Gosi	nell <u>Y</u>	Phillip Malitso	ch, Hanover Engineering	<u> </u>
Kathleen Zdon	owski <u>Y</u>			
The Planning (Commission took	a moment to recognize	e Joel Zingone for his mar	ny years of service to the
Planning Com	mission. Mr. Zing	gone has resigned from	his position on the Plann	ing Commission.
Organization:				
Chair	Nominated _	Sean Dooley		
	Motion by:	A. Joseph Gosnell	Seconded by:	Kathleen Zdonowski
	Yea <u>4</u>	Nay <u>0</u>	Passed: Y	
Vice-Chair	Nominated _	A. Joseph Gosnell		
	Motion by:	Sean Dooley	Seconded by:	Bryce Good
	Yea <u>4</u>	Nay <u>0</u>	Passed: Y	
Secretary	Nominated _	Bryce Good		
	Motion by:	Sean Dooley	Seconded by:	A. Joseph Gosnell
	Yea <u>4</u>	Nay <u>0</u>	Passed: Y	
Public Comme	ent on Non-Agen ments	da Items:		
Minutes:				
Minutes of Sep	ptember 15, 202	0 <u>X</u> Approved <u> </u>	approved as noted	
Motion by:	A. Joseph Gosr	nell Secon	nded by:Bryce Good_	
Vote: 3 to 0 in 2020	favor with Kathl	een Zdonowski abstaini	ng as she was not in atte	ndance on September 15
No meetings h	eld in October, I	November, and Decemb	per 2020 or in January 202	21 – no Agenda

Old Business:

Grandview Estates – Preliminary Subdivision Plan: TABLED UNTIL FURTHER NOTICE Joshua Tree – Commercial Land Development Plan: TABLED UNTIL FURTHER NOTICE

New Business:

Forks Church - Minor Subdivision Plan Review

Applicant: Neil Werkeiser – Treasurer of Forks Cemetery

Bob Black – President of Forks Cemetery Ryan Dentith – Stateline Engineering

Mr. Dentith, on behalf of the applicant, presented that the intent is to subdivide the house from the cemetery.

Mr. Dentith referenced the comment letter from the Borough engineer. He asked if a zoning variance would be required.

Mr. Dooley responded that he had spoken to Mr. Soloe about the application and that the proposed subdivision would require a dimensional zoning variance.

In response to Mr. Malitsch's inquiry to the reason for the subdivision, Mr. Werkeiser stated that the cemetery has a financial need in order to support operation of the cemetery and the house was a part of the property acquisition in the 1940s as a residence for the caretaker, which is no longer needed.

Mr. Dentith stated that there were no other items of discussion from the letter beyond the waiver requests (discussed below).

Borough Solicitor: Not present

Zoning Officer: Not present

Borough Engineer:

Mr. Malitsch stated that an access easement for use of the driveway needs to be provided.

Mr. Malitsch stated that the plans need to show/locate utilities and note any impacts on the proposed lot lines.

Mr. Malitsch inquired about the garden and associated fence that appears to be outside of the existing/proposed lot lines. The applicant stated that both have been in use with permission of the adjoining landowner.

Mr. Malitsch stated that a less and excepting deed could be a satisfactory substitute for closure of the residual lot's deed.

Public Comment: No public comment

Planning Commission:

Mr. Dooley stated that no substantive changes to the Borough engineer's letter are being requested. The remainder of the Planning Commission agreed that the possibility of moving the

application along to the Borough Council once the waivers in the letter are discussed and the deed and zoning matters are addressed.

The nine waiver requests as outlined in the February 11, 2021 letter from Stateline Engineering, Co., LLC were discussed in detail.

- 1. § 213.12.A.1 & 213-13.B.2.a The requirement for the plan to be submitted in color format.
 - 4-0 vote to agree to waiver request with no issues or discussion.
- 2. § 213.12.D.7 The requirement that all natural and man-made features within 200-feet of the subdivision tract be shown.
 - 4-0 vote to agree to waiver request with no issues or discussion.
- 3. § 213.12.D.8 The requirement for contours to be shown.
 - 4-0 vote to agree to waiver request with no issues or discussion.
- 4. § 213.13.C.1 The requirement for a Final Plan checklist to be submitted.
 - 4-0 vote to agree to waiver request with no issues or discussion.
- 5. § 213.16.C.2 & 219-17.D.1
 - a. The requirement for a closure report for the parent tract and a legal description for the Residual Lot 2.
 - 4-0 vote to agree to waiver request as long as the applicant provides a description for both lots to the satisfaction of the Borough Solicitor and Borough Engineer.
- 6. § 213.21.A.2 The requirement that side lot lines be at right angles to or radial to street lines.
 - 4-0 vote to agree to waiver request with no issues or discussion.
- 7. § 213-21.D The requirement for the existing driveway to have an approved PennDOT Highway Occupancy Permit.
 - 4-0 vote to agree to waive this request conditioned on the addition of notes to the plan drawings to the satisfaction of the Borough Solicitor and Borough Engineer that state that the applicant be responsible for a Highway Occupancy Permit if one becomes required or provide the owner of the subdivided parcel an alternative permanent access.
- 8. § 213.26.B.2.b The requirement that utility/drainage easements be 20'-wide (centered on proposed property lines).
 - 4-0 vote to agree to waiver request with no issue or discussion.
- 9. § 213-34.E The requirement for concrete monuments to be placed as corner monumentation.

4-0 vote to agree to waiver request. Discussion was held as to requiring concrete monuments for the front corners and iron pins for those along the rear lot lines. It was determined this would not be necessary.

The one deferral request was discussed in detail.

1. § 213-35.A – The requirement for financial security to be provided for sidewalk and curbing along the proposed subdivision prior to plan recording.

4-0 vote to agree to the deferral request subject to the approval of the Borough Solicitor. A discussion was held to determine if a waiver should be granted. It was determined that since the applicant made a deferral request, that would be the route voted upon.

	voted upon.
r	MOTION:
A	A motion was made to grant all nine waivers and the one deferral as discussed above.
	Motion by: <u>Bryce Good</u> Seconded by: <u>Kathleen Zdonowski</u> Yea <u>4</u> Nay <u>0</u> Passed: Y
P	MOTION:
	A motion was made to recommend preliminary/final plan approval for the minor subdivision conditioned upon the following:
•	Board; The Borough Solicitor and Borough Engineer's approval of a legal description for both lots. Revised plans submitted to the Borough Engineer for review; and
	Motion by: A. Joseph Gosnell Seconded by: Bryce Good Yea 4 Nay 0 Passed: Y
	ment: by: <u>A. Joseph Gosnell</u> Seconded by: <u>Bryce Good</u> Nay <u>0</u> Passed: Y